

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

MARC OPPERMAN, *et al.*,
Plaintiff,
v.
PATH, INC. *et al.*,
Defendant.

Case No. 13-cv-00453-JST
CLASS ACTION
[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING KIK
INTERACTIVE, INC.'S MOTION TO
DISMISS PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OF
CIVIL PROCEDURE RULE 12 (b)(2)
**THIS DOCUMENT RELATES ONLY TO:
OPPERMAN, ET AL. V. PATH, INC., ET AL.,
No. 13-cv-00453-JST**

OSCAR HERNANDEZ, *et al.*,
Plaintiff,
v.
PATH, INC. *et al.*,
Defendant.

Case No. 12-cv-01515-JST

MARIA PIROZZI,
Plaintiff,
v.
APPLE, INC.,
Defendant.

Case No. 12-cv-01515-JST

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Before the Court is Kik Interactive, Inc.’s (“Kik”) Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(2). The Court has considered the Motion, the Declaration of Peter Heinke in support thereof, all papers filed in support of and/or in opposition to the Motion, the arguments of counsel, and all other materials properly considered by the Court.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

The motion is **GRANTED**. It is further ordered that all claims against Kik stated in Plaintiffs’ Consolidated Amended Class Action Complaint are hereby dismissed with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(2).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____

The Honorable Jon S. Tigar
United States District Judge

2677655/ST